Interactive CardioVascular and Thoracic Surgery

Is internal massage superior to external massage for patients suffering a cardiac arrest after cardiac surgery?

Darragh Twomey, Moloy Das, Hariharan Subramanian and Joel Dunning *Interact CardioVasc Thorac Surg* 2008;7:151-157; originally published online Nov 13, 2007;

DOI: 10.1510/icvts.2007.170399

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at: http://icvts.ctsnetjournals.org/cgi/content/full/7/1/151

Interactive Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery is the official journal of the European Association for Cardio-thoracic Surgery (EACTS) and the European Society for Cardiovascular Surgery (ESCVS). Copyright © 2008 by European Association for Cardio-thoracic Surgery. Print ISSN: 1569-9293.

INTERACTIVE CARDIOVASCULAR AND THORACIC SURGERY

Interactive CardioVascular and Thoracic Surgery 7 (2008) 151-157

www.icvts.org

Best evidence topic - Cardiac general

Is internal massage superior to external massage for patients suffering a cardiac arrest after cardiac surgery?

Darragh Twomeya, Moloy Dasa, Hariharan Subramaniana, Joel Dunningb,*

^aDepartment of Cardiology, James Cook University Hospital, Middlesbrough, UK ^bDepartment of Cardiothoracic Surgery, James Cook University Hospital, Middlesborough, UK

Received 16 October 2007; accepted 23 October 2007

Summary

A best evidence topic in cardiac surgery was written according to a structured protocol. The question addressed was whether open chest cardiac massage is superior to closed chest compressions in patients suffering cardiac arrest following cardiac surgery. Using the reported search, 527 papers were identified. Fifteen papers represented the best evidence on the subject and the author, journal, date and country of publication, patient group studied, study type, relevant outcomes, results and study comments and weaknesses were tabulated. The quality and level of evidence was assessed using the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation guideline recommendations. We conclude that over 18 good quality animal studies have consistently demonstrated the superiority of open chest cardiac massage, with the cardiac index and coronary perfusion pressures often more than doubling. There are fewer human studies but they have shown that closed chest massage generates a cardiac index of around 0.6 l/min/m² which rises to 1.3 l/min/m² or more with open-chest-CPR, accompanied by even bigger improvements in coronary perfusion pressure. ILCOR recommends prompt conversion to open-chest-cardiac massage in patient's shortly post-cardiac surgery, and we would support this intervention if simple resuscitative efforts such as defibrillation, pacing or atropine fail, in order to significantly improve the quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

© 2008 Published by European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Cardiac surgery; Cardiopulmonary resuscitation; Open chest cardiac massage; Evidence based medicine; Postoperative complications

1. Introduction

A best evidence topic was written according to a structured protocol. This protocol is fully described in the ICTVS [1]. The quality of each study was assessed using the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation 2005 protocol [2].

2. Clinical scenario

A 52-year-old patient 36 h after mitral valve repair and grafts arrests with an asystolic ECG. He had been on increasing doses of adrenaline and a TOE had shown a poor LV but no tamponade. After 2 min of external cardiac massage and 1 mg of adrenaline you elect to perform an emergency re-sternotomy with the intention of putting the patient back on bypass. Once commencing internal massage you are surprised at the significantly better arterial pressure that you are able to achieve performing internal massage.

3. Three-part question

In [patients with cardiac arrest after cardiac surgery] is [external cardiac massage or internal massage] better in generating optimal [cardiac index and coronary perfusion]?

4. Search strategy

Medline 1950-Oct 2007 using the OVID interface.

[open chest.mp OR internal cardiac.mp OR resuscitative thoracotomy.mp OR open heart.mp] AND [CPR.mp OR exp Cardiopulmonary resuscitation/or massage.mp]

EMBASE 1980-Oct 2007 using the OVID interface.

[open chest.mp OR internal cardiac.mp OR resuscitative thoracotomy.mp OR open heart.mp] AND [CPR.mp OR expresuscitation/or massage.mp]

The Cochrane database for systematic reviews and central register of controlled trials was searched using the term 'open chest', or 'internal cardiac' CPR.

5. Search outcome

Two hundred and sixty-three papers were found in Medline, 256 in EMBASE and eight articles in the Cochrane library. Of these, 22 were felt to be relevant and 15 were tabulated (Table 1).

6. Comments

The International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation which comprehensively reviewed 276 topics in resuscitation with 281 experts in the field in 2005 looked at the issue of open vs. closed chest cardiac massage [3], and provided a

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +44 1642 850850.

E-mail address: joeldunning@doctors.org.uk;

darraghtwomey@doctors.org.uk (J. Dunning).

^{© 2008} Published by European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery

Table 1 Best evidence papers

Author, year, journal, country Study type	Patient group	Outcomes	Key results	Study weaknesses
Rubertsson and Wiklund (2005), Circulation, Sweden, [4] Systematic review of cohort studies, case	ILCOR worksheet titled: open chest CPR improves outcome when compared with standard closed-chest CPR	Level of evidence	Class IIb: acceptable and useful, fair evidence	
series and animal studies (Level 4, excellent, positive)	full systematic review [16] pressures, systemic blood survival, and may be indic circulatory arrest in the e after cardiothoracic surge abdomen is already open approach) for example in		Open-chest CPR results in great pressures, systemic blood flow a survival, and may be indicated it circulatory arrest in the early pafter cardiothoracic surgery or abdomen is already open (transapproach) for example in traum Open-chest CPR may also be ne	and n cases of ostoperative phase when the chest or diaphragmal a surgery
			avalanche accidents with cardia hypothermia since external thor are not efficient due to stiff the	acic compressions
		Quality and direction of studies	18 level 6 papers, 1 level 5, 2 level 4 and a level 3 study of which four were human studies, were found all in support of the hypothesis. None found against the hypothesis	
ILCOR Consensus on Science, (2005) Circulation, Worldwide, [3] Systematic review of cohort studies, case series and animal studies	International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation Advanced Life Support Task force recommendation	Consensus on science	2 human studies after cardiac surgery and two out of hospital arrest have been published. The observed benefits of open-chest cardiac massage included improved coronary perfusion pressure and increased return of spontaneous circulation. Evidence from animal studies indicates that open-chest CPR produces greater survival rates, perfusion pressures, and organ blood flow than	
(Level 4, excellent, positive)			closed chest CPR	
positive)		Treatment recommendations	Open-chest CPR should be considered for patients with cardiac arrest in the early postoperative phase after cardiothoracic surgery or when the chest or abdomen is already open	
		Class of recommendation	Class IIb: acceptable and useful, fair evidence	
Del Guercio et al. (1965), Circulation, USA, Case series, [12]	11 human subjects with in- hospital cardiac arrest	Cardiac index	Closed chest CPR 0.61 l/min/m² Open chest CPR 1.31 l/min/m²	Not randomised. Old study
Case-series (Level 5, fair, positive)		Circulation time	Closed chest CPR 43.8 s Open chest CPR 88.5 s $P < 0.01$	
Sanders et al. (1984), Ann Emerg Med, USA, [16, 20] An experimental animal	10 dogs in ventricular fibrillation	Successful resuscitation and survival to	Open chest CPR 4/5 dogs resuscitated	Very small numbers in each group
	Closed chest CPR for	20 min	Closed chest CPR No survivors	cacii group
study (Level 6, good, positive)	Dogs with coronary perfusion pressure below 30 mmHg had thoracotomy and internal massage for 3 min. Closed chest massage in the others. All dogs defibrillated at 20 min	Aortic and right atrial pressure	Significant differences in arterial or coronary pressure	

Table 1 (Continued)

Table 1 (Continued)				
Author, year, journal, country Study type	Patient group	Outcomes	Key results	Study weaknesses
Geehr et al. (1986), N Engl J Med (Letter) USA, [14] Letter about an RCT	49 patients with out-of- hospital cardiac arrest, randomised to CCCC or OCCC	Survival	Three patients in each group were resuscitated and admitted to hospital. No patient in either group survived to discharge	Letter format only, no published study details
(Unknown level of evidence)				
Kern et al. (1987) Circulation, USA Randomised controlled trial (Animal), [17, 21]	28 mongrel dogs put into ventricular fibrillation. All had 15 min of regular CPR, then randomised to closed or open chest CPR for a further 2 min	Aortic pressure	Open chest CPR 112/70 Closed chest CPR 48/25, P<0.001	
Experimental animal study (Level 6, excellent, positive)	followed by defibrillation	Coronary perfusion	Open chest CPR 65 mmHg Closed chest CPR 19 mmHg P<0.001	
		Return of spontaneous circulation	Open chest CPR 14/14 dogs Closed chest CPR 5/14 dogs P<0.05	
		24 h survival	Open chest CPR 12/14 dogs Closed chest CPR 4/14 dogs P<0.05	
Kern et al. (1991) Ann Emerg Med, USA, [22] Experimental animal study (Level 6, good, positive)	Twenty mongrel dogs. Ten animals underwent 20 min of VF and were then randomised into open or closed chest CPR The other 10 animals all underwent open chest	Survival	Open chest CPR after 10 min 5/5 dogs Open chest CPR after 20 min 5/5 dogs Open chest CPR after 40 min 0/5 dogs Closed chest CPR 1/5 dogs	
	CPR. In half of these, this was instituted after 10 min of VF, in the other half, after 40 min	Physiological variables	OCCC produced significantly better coronary perfusion and aortic pressures. These values were also significantly better in the OCCC 20 min group in comparison with the 40 min group	
Takino and Okada (1993), Resuscitation, Japan, Case series, [10]	95 patients with non- traumatic out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, 26 patients had open	Return of spontaneous circulation	Closed chest CPR 21/69 patients (30%) Open chest CPR 15/26 patients (58%)	Not randomised. Authors felt numbers
Controlled cohort study (Level 4, fair, positive)	chest CPR after failed closed chest CPR	Hospital discharge	Closed chest CPR 1/69 patients (1%) Open chest CPR 3/26 patients (12%)	were too low for statistical analysis
		Timing of chest opening	Tendency to improved outcomes if chest opened within 5 min	
Boczar et al. (1995), Crit Care Med, USA, [7]	10 adult non-traumatic, patients presenting with a witnessed cardiac arrest	Mean coronary perfusion pressure	Closed chest CPR 7.3 ± 5.7 mmHg Open chest CPR 32.6 ± 17.8 mmHg	One patient also had cardiac index
Prospective case series (Level 4, fair, positive)	Proximal aortic and CVP lines placed		P<0.05	monitored. This

Table 1	(Continued)

Author, year, journal, country Study type	Patient group	Outcomes	Key results	Study weaknesses
- Study type	Closed chest CPR using an automatic compression device (Thumper)	Compression- phase pressure gradients	Closed chest CPR 6.2 ± 5.4 mmHg Open chest CPR 32.6 ± 29.9 mmHg $P < 0.05$	increased from 0.56 to 2.23 l/min/m
	After 5 min left lateral thoracotomy performed and open chest CPR performed	Survival	3 patients developed return of spontaneous rhythm after open chest massage (having been declared unsalvageable to enter the study after 5 min closed chest CPR)	2.23 (////////////////////////////////////
Hachimi-Idrissi et al. (1997), Resuscitation, Belgium, [11] Case series (Level 5, fair, positive)	33 patients with out-of- hospital cardiac arrest, undergoing open-chest- CPR after failure of closed chest CPR These 33 patients are collected out of a series of 2212 patients on their database	ROSC and survival	ROSC was achieved in 13 patients. Two of these survived to hospital discharge	Very selected cases. Only 2 survivors
Anthi et al. (1998), Chest, Greece, [5] Consecutive cohort study (Level 4, good, positive)	29 patients with cardiac arrest within 24 h of cardiac surgery. Closed chest CPR initiated, if no ROSC after 3–5 min, open chest CPR commenced	Incidence of ROSC and survival	Closed chest CPR successful in 13 patients. Of remaining 16, open chest CPR was successful in 14. Four patients did not survive to discharge. At one year, 20/23 patients were alive. Overall causes were myocardial infarction (14), cardiac tamponade (5), graft malfunction (3) and unknown (7)	This study does not directly compare the usefulness of closed chest CPR vs. open chest CPR
Mackay et al. (2002), Eur J Cardiothorac Surg, UK, [23, 24] Consecutive cohort study (Level 4, excellent, postive)	79 post cardiac surgery patients who underwent chest reopening during cardiac arrest	ROSC and survival to discharge	Overall survival to discharge was 20/79 (25%). Survival was more likely if arrest occurred within 24 h of surgery (39% vs 13% $P=0.02$) and with chest reopening within 10 min of arrest (48% vs 12% $P<0.001$). No patients arresting on ward survived	No direct comparison
Pottle et al. (2002), Resuscitation, UK, [6] Consecutive cohort study (Level 4, good, positive)	72 post cardiac surgery patients undergoing OCCC	Outcome	Initial survival was 33/72 (46%). Only 12/72 (17%) survived to discharge. No patients receiving OCCC outside HDU survived	No direct comparison of CCCC and OCCC
Benson et al. (2003), Resuscitation, USA, [15]	12 dogs with induced ventricular fibrillation. After five minutes of non-intervention, subjects randomised to receive	Survival	Open chest CPR All 5 dogs survived and neurologically normal at 72 h	
An experimental animal study – randomised controlled trial (Level 6, excellent, positive)	15 min of closed chest CPR or 15 min of open chest CPR. Defibrillation was then attempted and resuscitation continued		Closed chest CPR 3/7 dogs survived but one had ataxia and the other 2 had severe neurological deficits	
		Coronary perfusion pressure	Open chest CPR 38.2 mmHg Closed chest CPR 20.3 mmHg	

(Continued on next page)

Table 1 (Continued)

Author, year, journal, country Study type	Patient group	Outcomes	Key results	Study weaknesses
Calinas-Correia and Phair (2001), J Accid Emerg Med, UK, [13]	7 patients who had non- traumatic cardiac arrest out-of-hospital. Once entering hospital	Oxygenation	PO ₂ was physiological or supra-physiological in all patients	
Case-series (Level 5, poor, neutral)	Open chest CPR performed by one physician	Acid base balance	This was not corrected in these patients	
	F 75.5.9	Survival	3 attained spontaneous circulation but no survivors	

systematic review on the topic as part of their worksheet review process [4]. They found four human studies, with two in cardiac surgery and two in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and 18 animal studies. They report that there are observed benefits of open-chest-cardiac massage including improved coronary perfusion pressure and increased return of spontaneous circulation in humans and better survival rates, and organ blood flow as compared to closed-chest CPR. They recommend that open-chest-CPR should be considered for patients for cardiac arrest in the early post-operative phase after cardiothoracic surgery or when the chest or abdomen is already open (Class IIb).

The two human studies after cardiac surgery referred to were by Anthi et al. [5] and Pottle et al. [6]. Anthi provided a report of 29 patients who arrested 24 h after cardiac surgery. Forty-five percent were resuscitated with closed-chest-CPR and 48% with open-chest-CPR after 2–5 min of closed-chest massage had failed. Pottle reported 72 patients who had open-chest-CPR after cardiac surgery, of whom 46% regained spontaneous circulation.

Of the other two human studies, Boczar et al. [7] studied 10 patients brought into hospital with a witnessed cardiac arrest. After 5 min of closed-chest-CPR they were declared unsalvageable and entered into the study. A left lateral thoracotomy was performed without opening the pericardium and internal massage commenced. The mean coronary perfusion pressure rose from 7.3 mmHg to 32 mmHg, the compression-phase pressure gradient rose from 6.2 mmHg to 32.6 mmHg and three patients obtained a spontaneous circulation. They remind us that Paradis et al. [8] in JAMA reported that 15 mmHg of coronary perfusion is required in humans to obtain a return of spontaneous circulation, this was achieved in all Boczar's patients during openchest-CPR. Paradis et al. [9] also reported three patients who had open-chest-CPR after failed closed-chest-CPR and two survived, one with no neurological deficit.

Takino and Okada [10] compared 26 patients who had open-chest-CPR after witnessed out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with 69 who had closed-chest-CPR only. Fifty-eight percent of patients had spontaneous return of circulation with open-chest-CPR compared with 30% with closed-chest-CPR. There were three open-chest long-term survivors compared to only one closed-chest survivor.

A third human study rejected by ILCOR was by Hachimi-Idrissi et al. [11]. They found 33 patients who had openchest-CPR after failed closed-chest-CPR in their database of 2212 out-of-hospital arrest patients. Thirteen had spontaneous return of circulation but only two survived.

We identified three additional human studies. Del Guercio et al. in 1965 [12] showed significant improvements in physiological variables with open-chest-CPR in 11 patients with in-hospital arrest. The cardiac index was 0.6 l/min/m² with closed-chest-CPR but was 1.3 l/min/m² with open-chest-CPR. The circulation time decreased from 89 s to 44 s. Calinas-Correia and Phair [13] reported seven patients who underwent open-chest CPR but with no survivors. Geehr et al. [14] in a letter in the NEJM, reported that they had performed an RCT of 49 patients with an out-of-hospital arrest and found three survivors in the open-chest-CPR group, and three in the closed-chest-CPR group.

Of the animal studies, Benson et al. [15] induced VF in 12 dogs and after 5 min of no intervention randomised the dogs to either open or closed-chest-massage for 15 min. All open-chest-CPR dogs survived without neurological deficit but only 3/7 dogs survived after closed-chest-CPR and two had severe neurological deficit. The coronary perfusion pressure was double in the open-chest-CPR group.

Sanders et al. [16] showed that open-chest-CPR resulted in 4/5 dog survivors compared to none in their closed-chest group. Kern et al. [17] showed that resuscitation and survival were significantly improved with open cardiac massage in 29 dogs and reiterated these findings in 1991, adding that resuscitation was significantly improved if chest opening was instituted sooner. Raessler and Kem [18] in 63 mongrel dogs showed that open-chest-CPR had a coronary perfusion pressure of 64 mmHg compared to 21 mmHg for closed-chest massage. Rubertsson and Grenvik [19] showed significant improvements in cardiac index and coronary perfusion pressure in 35 pigs.

7. Clinical bottom line

Over 18 good quality animal studies have consistently demonstrated the superiority of open chest cardiac massage, with the cardiac index and coronary perfusion pressures often more than doubling. There are fewer human studies but they have shown that closed-chest-massage generates a cardiac index of around 0.6, which rises to 1.3 l/min/m² or more with open-chest-CPR, accompanied by even bigger improvements in coronary perfusion pressure. ILCOR recommends prompt conversion to open-chest-cardiac massage in patients shortly post-cardiac surgery, and we would support this intervention if simple resusci-

tative efforts such as defibrillation, pacing or atropine fail, in order to significantly improve the quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

References

- Dunning J, Prendergast B, Mackway-Jones K. Towards evidence-based medicine in cardiothoracic surgery: best BETS. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2003;2:405–409.
- [2] Morley P, Zaritsky A. The evidence evaluation process for the 2005 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science with Treatment Recommendations. Resuscitation 2005;67:167–170.
- [3] International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. 2005 International consensus on cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care science with treatment recommendations. Part 4: Advanced life support. [601 refs]. Resuscitation 2005;67:213–247.
- [4] Rubertsson S, Wiklund L. Open chest CPR improves outcome when compared with standard closed-chest CPR: W81b: Appendix. Circulation 2005;112:b1-b14.
- [5] Anthi A, Tzelepis GE, Alivizatos P, Michalis A, Palatianos GM, Geroulanos S. Unexpected cardiac arrest after cardiac surgery: incidence, predisposing causes, and outcome of open chest cardiopulmonary resuscitation. [see comment]. Chest 1998;113:15–19.
- [6] Pottle A, Bullock I, Thomas J, Scott L. Survival to discharge following open chest cardiac compression (OCCC). A 4-year retrospective audit in a cardiothoracic specialist centre – Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Trust, United Kingdom. Resuscitation 2002;52:269–272.
- [7] Boczar ME, Howard MA, Rivers EP, Martin GB, Horst HM, Lewandowski C, Tomlanovich MC, Nowak RM. A technique revisited: hemodynamic comparison of closed- and open-chest cardiac massage during human cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Crit Care Med 1995;23:498–503.
- [8] Paradis NA, Martin GB, Rivers EP. Coronary perfusion pressure and the return of spontaneous circulation in human cardiopulmonary resuscitation. J Am Med Assoc 1990:263:1105–1113.
- [9] Paradis NA, Martin GB, Rivers EP. Use of open chest cardiopulmonary resuscitation after failure of standard closed chest CPR: illustrative cases. Resuscitation 1992;24:61–71.
- [10] Takino M, Okada Y. The optimum timing of resuscitative thoracotomy for non-traumatic out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 1993; 26:69-74.
- [11] Hachimi-Idrissi S, Leeman J, Hubloue Y, Huyghens L, Corne L. Open chest cardiopulmonary resuscitation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 1997;35:151–156.
- [12] Del Guercio LR, Feins NR, Cohn JD, Coomaraswamy RP, Wollman SB, State D. Comparison of blood flow during external and internal cardiac massage in man. Circulation 1965;31(Suppl):80.
- [13] Calinas-Correia J, Phair I. Physiological variables during open chest cardiopulmonary resuscitation: results from a small series. [see comment]. J Accid Emerg Med 2000;17:201–204.
- [14] Geehr EC, Lewis FR, Auerbach PS. Failure of open-heart massage to improve survival after prehospital nontraumatic cardiac arrest. N Engl J Med 1986:314:1189–1190.
- [15] Benson DM, O'Neil B, Kakish E, Erpelding J, Alousi S, Mason R, Piper D, Rafols J. Open-chest CPR improves survival and neurologic outcome following cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 2005;64:209–217.
- [16] Sanders AB, Kern KB, Ewy GA. Time limitations for open-chest cardiopulmonary resuscitation from cardiac arrest. Crit Care Med 1985;13: 897–898.
- [17] Kern KB, Sanders AB, Badylak SF, Janas W, Carter AB, Tacker WA, Ewy GA. Long-term survival with open-chest cardiac massage after ineffective closed-chest compression in a canine preparation. Circulation 1987; 75:498–503.
- [18] Raessler KL, Kem KB. Aortic and right atrial systolic pressures during cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a potential indicator of the mechanism of blood flow. Am Heart J 1988;115:1021–1029.
- [19] Rubertsson S, Grenvik A. Blood flow and perfusion pressure during openchest versus closed-chest cardiopulmonary resuscitation in pigs. Crit Care Med 1995;23:715–725.
- [20] Sanders AB, Kern KB, Ewy GA, Atlas M, Bailey L. Improved resuscitation from cardiac arrest with open-chest massage. Ann Emerg Med 1984; 13:672–675.

- [21] Kern KB, Sanders AB, Ewy GA. Open-chest cardiac massage after closedchest compression in a canine model: when to intervene. Resuscitation 1987;15:51–57.
- [22] Kern KB, Sanders AB, Janas W, Nelson JR, Badylak SF, Babbs CF, Tacker WA, Ewy GA. Limitations of open-chest cardiac massage after prolonged, untreated cardiac arrest in dogs. Ann Emerg Med 1991;20:761– 767.
- [23] Mackay JH, Powell SJ, Osgathorp J, Rozario CJ. Six-year prospective audit of chest reopening after cardiac arrest. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2002;22:421–425.
- [24] Mackay JH, Powell SJ, Charman SC, Rozario C. Resuscitation after cardiac surgery: are we ageist? Eur J Anaesthesiol 2004;21:66–71.

eComment: Alternative methods of resuscitation for patients suffering a cardiac arrest after cardiac surgery

Author: Eric M. Rottenberg, The Ohio State University Medical Center, 410 West Tenth Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43210-1228, USA

doi:10.1510/icvts.2007.170399A

Twomey and colleagues [1] published the results of their 'best evidence' search, which addressed whether internal cardiac massage is superior to external massage for patients suffering a cardiac arrest after cardiac surgery. They pointed out that ILCOR recommends prompt conversion to open-chest-cardiac massage in patients shortly post-cardiac surgery. Based on their results, they support this intervention if simple resuscitative efforts such as defibrillation, pacing or atropine fail.

However, two other interventions are worth mentioning. First, minimally invasive direct cardiac massage (or MID-CM) [2] is a technique that uses a hand-held device that is introduced through a small thoracostomy to achieve direct cardiac compression. The commercially available MID-CM device (TheraCardia, Inc) consists of a 40 French external diameter hollow introducer with a round cylindrical handle at one end and a flat umbrella-shaped device at the other end, which is initially collapsed and retracted in the lumen of the introducer. After inserting the introducer through a small incision made at the left anterior fourth intercostal space 5 cm from the midline, the umbrella is deployed, which expands to a diameter of 7.5 cm. Manual cardiac compression-decompressions are performed at 80-100 per min with a 4-cm up-and-down stroke. A human pre-hospital pilot study of 25 patients concluded that MID-CM produces greater blood flow than conventional CPR. Deployment of the MID-CM device was, however, not possible in 1 patient with pericardium adhesions and cardiomegaly. It was pointed out that porcine models comparing open-chest-cardiac massage (OC-CM) to MID-CM using a prototype device concluded that no differences can be found between OC-CM and MID-CM at any point.

Second, abdominal compressions-only CPR (or ACO-CPR) [3] is a new method of resuscitation that recently has been demonstrated to be hemodynamically superior to conventional chest compressions in a porcine model [4]. Blood flow during ACO-CPR is generated by creating an 'inertial' pump within the abdominal aorta [5]. Motion of blood within the aorta (due to rhythmic compression by compressing the abdomen) creates an 'inertial pump' at particular frequencies of oscillation and the effectiveness of this pump mechanism is highly dependent upon the frequency of oscillations. High-frequency compressions of the aorta are likely most effective at producing resonant pressure-volume waves within the aorta that drive blood flow. This method of CPR also engorges the heart with blood (or primes the pump). 'Priming the pump' with ACO-CPR prior to performing chest compressions has been suggested to cause a leftward shift of the flow-depth relationship, thereby allowing viable perfusion pressures to be generated with less depth of compression. Therefore, chest compressions following ACO-CPR are likely much more effective than during conventional CPR. The advantage of ACO-CPR is that it can be applied immediately to generate blood flow to the heart and brain and improve the chances of successfully using initial interventions such as defibrillation, pacing or atropine. However, cardiac compression may still be necessary and perhaps because a bloodengorged heart cannot be successfully defibrillated and circulation cannot return without first decompressing the heart with either OC-CM, MID-CM or conventional CPR.

References

[1] Twomey D, Das M, Subramanian H, Dunning J. Is internal massage superior to external massage for patients suffering a cardiac arrest after cardiac surgery? Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2008;7:151–157.

- [2] Rozenberg A, Incagnoli P, Delpech P, Spaulding C, Vivien B, Kern KB, Carli P. Prehospital use of minimally invasive direct cardiac massage (MID-CM): a pilot study. Resuscitation 2001;50:257–262.
- [3] Rottenberg EM. The need for a leftward shift in the flow-depth relationship during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Resuscitation 2007; 72:350–352.
- [4] Geddes LA, Rundell A, Lottes A, Kemeny A, Otlewski M. A new cardiopulmonary resuscitation method using only rhythmic abdominal compression. A preliminary report. Am J Emerg Med 2007;25:786–790.
- [5] Babbs CF. Biophysics of cardiopulmonary resuscitation with periodic zaxis acceleration or abdominal compression at aortic resonant frequencies. Resuscitation 2006;69:455–469.

eComment: The more the better? Cardiac output monitoring during cardiopulmonary rescuscitation

Authors: Karsten Knobloch, Plastic, Hand and Reconstructive Surgery, Hannover Medical School, Hannover 30625, Germany; Marcus Spies, Peter M. Vogt

doi:10.1510/icvts.2007.170399B

I read with great interest the recent work by Dr. Twomey and coworkers comparing internal vs. external cardiopulmonary resuscitation [1].

I strongly believe that the authors touch an important, albeit somewhat neglected theme.

Based on the current published papers, open chest massage seems to achieve higher cardiac indices with a twofold increase in contrast to closed chest massage (0.6 vs. 1.3 l/min/m²). In line, coronary perfusion pressure is increased [2]. However, one has to take into account that a total number of 11 patients were included in that study with it certain limitations. Furthermore, the method used for cardiac output measurement in this 42-year-old study may not necessarily be as accurate and precise as current gold standard therapy. The Swan-Ganz catheter, which is currently appreciated as the gold-standard therapy for clinical cardiac output measurement, was first described five years after the aforementioned study focusing the cardiac index during either open or closed chest compression [3].

Cardiac output/index monitoring during open or closed chest compression in resuscitation necessitates a continuous measure. It is preferable to use a beat-by-beat monitoring tool in this regard to obtain the appropriate stroke volumes of each chest compression rather than a mean of stroke volumes over a given time duration, such as 2 min.

A non-invasive cardiac output monitor appears to be attractive in this regard. An ultrasonic cardiac output monitor (USCOM) has been found to be at least as accurate as the current gold standard monitoring tool, the Swan-Ganz catheter [4]. It allows beat-by-beat stroke volume determination by a suprasternal approach focusing the blood column in the ascending aorta. During cardiopulmonary resuscitation, a high normal cardiac output of 5.75 l/min (cardiac index 3.12 ± 1.67 dyne \times s \times cm⁻⁵) is achievable with paramedics performing the closed chest compression [5]. The range of cardiac output measured in 6 cardiopulmonary closed chest resuscitation patients was 2.7 to 12 l/min (1.2 dyne×s×cm⁻⁵ to 5.7 dyne×s×cm⁻⁵). However, the level of cardiac output/Index did not correlate in those six patients with the establishing of sustained circulation. Actually, the 2/6 patients arriving at the hospital with circulation had the lowest cardiac outputs (2.7 l/min, 66vear-old male and 3.5 l/min. 90-vear-old female) in comparison to the 4 other patients, where cardiopulmonary resuscitation failed (4.8-12 l/min, 33-87 years). Whether this discrepancy in survival rate is based on undetermined factors in that study which might have contributed to the outcome is unclear. In other words, whether a higher cardiac output/index is mandatory to be associated with a better outcome, e.g. survival, has to be studied in further studies.

References

- Twomey D, Das M, Subramanian H, Dunning J. Is internal massage superior to external massage for patients suffering a cardiac arrest after cardiac surgery? Interact CardioVasc Thorac Surg 2008;7:151–157.
- [2] Del Guercio LR, Feins NR, Cohn JD, Coomaraswarny RP, Wollman SB, State D. Comparison of blood flow during external and internal cardiac massage in man. Circulation 1965:31:80.
- [3] Swan HJ, Ganz W, Forrester J, Marcus H, Diamond G, Chonette D. Catheterization of the heart in man with use of a flow-directed balloon-tipped catheter. N Engl J Med 1970;283:447–451.
- [4] Knobloch K, Lichtenberg A, Winterhalter M, Rossner D, Pichlmaier M, Phillips R. Non-invasive cardiac output determination by two-dimensional independent Doppler during and after cardiac surgery. Ann Thorac Surg 2005 Oct;80:1479–1483.
- [5] Knobloch K, Hubrich V, Rohmann P, Lupkemann M, Gerich T, Krettek C, Phillips R. Feasibility of preclinical cardiac output and systemic vascular resistance in HEMS in thoracic pain – the ultrasonic cardiac output monitor. Air Med J 2006 Nov-Dec;25:270-275.

Is internal massage superior to external massage for patients suffering a cardiac arrest after cardiac surgery?

Darragh Twomey, Moloy Das, Hariharan Subramanian and Joel Dunning *Interact CardioVasc Thorac Surg* 2008;7:151-157; originally published online Nov 13, 2007;

DOI: 10.1510/icvts.2007.170399

This information is current as of February 10, 2008

Updated Information including high-resolution figures, can be found at: & Services http://icvts.ctsnetjournals.org/cgi/content/full/7/1/151 References This article cites 24 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at: http://icvts.ctsnetjournals.org/cgi/content/full/7/1/151#BIBL **Citations** This article has been cited by 2 HighWire-hosted articles: http://icvts.ctsnetjournals.org/cgi/content/full/7/1/151#otherarticles **Subspecialty Collections** This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Education http://icvts.ctsnetjournals.org/cgi/collection/education Cardiac physiology http://icvts.ctsnetjournals.org/cgi/collection/cardiac physiology Cardiac - other http://icvts.ctsnetjournals.org/cgi/collection/cardiac_other **Permissions & Licensing** Requests to reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety should be submitted to: icvts@ejcts.ch **Reprints** For information about ordering reprints, please email: icvts@ejcts.ch

Interactive CardioVascular and Thoracic Surgery